

Vetiver Grass Technology* Networking And Its Impact On The Environment

Richard G. Grimshaw

The Vetiver Network, Leesburg, Virginia USA

Paper Presented at the Second International Vetiver Conference

Thailand

January 2000

ABSTRACT: Networking has proven to be a very effective, low cost, world wide approach for the dissemination and exchange of information about the Vetiver Grass Technology (VGT). As a result over the past decade tens of thousands of users have applied VGT as a solution to a wide range of environmental problems. VGT has had, amongst others, significant impact in: improving soil and water conservation; stabilizing manmade (engineered) slopes; rehabilitating land, including mines, waste land, ravines; prevention of floods, and the recharging of groundwater.

VGT networking was initiated by the author in 1989 through The Vetiver Information Network (VIN) established under the Asia Region Agricultural Technical Division (ASTAG) of the World Bank. Later (1995) The Vetiver Network (TVN) was established as a non-profit organization. Initially networking was based on a biannual newsletter providing feedback from the field on research and development efforts relating to VGT. Over the next few years ASTAG staff, working mainly in Asia, took the opportunity to introduce VGT as a component (albeit minor) into agricultural and rural development projects funded by the World Bank. Thus it was possible to establish a basis for field development and feedback. At the same time VIN announced an award program (US\$ 30,000), which was personally supported by His Majesty the King of Thailand in the form of the King of Thailand Award for Vetiver, for effective VGT related research.

The Vetiver Grass Technology in its simplest form comprises of a narrow band of grass hedgerow planted across the slope. The technology uses a unique tropical grass -- *Vetiveria zizanioides* or vetiver grass. This grass hedgerow acts as a biological filter that spreads out water flows behind it and allows sediment to settle and or be filtered out by the hedge. The grass is dense and easily forms a continuous hedge barrier. It has strong leaves that withstand water flows. It has strong deep penetrating roots that are akin to "soil nails" that provide stability and prevents soil slippage on steep slopes. The grass will grow under a very wide range of ecological conditions, and is not considered a weed.

This provided an incentive for participating in the network and for some excellent vetiver research in Australia, India, Malaysia and Thailand. VIN also published the handbook "Vetiver Grass a Hedge Against Erosion" commonly known as the "Green Book", and produced some videotapes and slides for distribution. In 1995 The Vetiver Network (TVN) was established as an independent non profit organization, with grant funding from the Royal Danish Government, an anonymous UK Trust, the World Bank and the proceeds of Monsanto's John Franz Award for Sustainability. TVN accelerated the networking process on a worldwide basis. Between 1996 and 1999 TVN helped to establish eleven new national and regional vetiver networks, and through grants to other agencies, established some catalytic vetiver initiatives in the field from which have spawned new networks in countries such as Ethiopia, Cameroon, Madagascar, Vietnam and Tanzania. TVN took advantage of the Internet and developed its own website (www.vetiver.org) which has been visited some 15,000 times, and encouraged the exchange of information via email. In addition TVN continued to put out hard copy newsletters, videotapes and more recently CD-ROMs. TVN encouraged continued research and development initiative, and in 1998 awarded US \$ 50,000 to more than 30 prizewinners.

Research documents that demonstrate and quantify the different applications of VGT and their effectiveness are referenced. Research relating to agronomic and socio- economic aspects is examined.

The global impact of vetiver is assessed in terms of its dissemination to users around the world and the growing interest in its broader applications. Over 800 NGO's, 800 government agencies, 1000 research stations, and about 800 private individuals and commercial entities have primary access to TVN. Thousands of others are uncounted secondary or tertiary users.

Networking has proven to be successful because: it focuses on a single low cost and effective technology that is effective, easy to understand and use; it requires lower labor resource inputs than traditional engineered systems; it is gender positive; it has a wide range of ecological adaptation and applications both in the agriculture and construction sectors; the end user comes first and feedback information is quickly fed back to users; incentive awards are made to encourage participation in the network and participants are generous in sharing their information; information is delivered free to the user; VGT networking appears to be self sustaining, and other independent vetiver networks are encouraged at regional and national levels; hard copy information backed by Internet web sites and email communication are powerful dissemination tools; and TVN is administered with minimum procedures and on basis of trust with its partners.

Expansion of the adoption of the technology will come from three directions: the spontaneous establishment of new networks; wider inclusion of the technology into government and donor technical strategies; and the commercialization of the technology by the private sector.

TVN its affiliates have been successful not only through their dissemination programs but also because they are all action orientated.

INTRODUCTION

Vetiver Grass Technology (VGT) has been used for hundreds of years by farmers in India where it was used for soil erosion control and for the demarcation of farm boundaries (Gundalpet, Karnataka). In other parts of India it was used for stabilizing rice bunds and inter field channels (Orissa). In other countries such as Philippines and Thailand VGT has been used traditionally for the stabilization of rice field bunds. Historically vetiver grass was well known in many tropical countries for its aromatic and medicinal properties. Thus, as a practical farmer based technology, it was not difficult to introduce it on a wider scale for an extended range of applications. Earlier in this century the sugar industry had recognized the value of vetiver grass for conservation purposes, and it was used in the West Indies and South Africa for this purpose. In the 1950s VGT was introduced to Fiji for soil conservation in relation to the resettlement of cane farmers on steep and erodible lands. John Greenfield, a talented New Zealand agronomist, had been responsible for the Fiji introduction. In the 1980s he worked for the World Bank in India, and it was there that Greenfield and the author started the current VGT initiative.

In 1986 VGT was pilot tested on a number of World Bank funded watershed projects in the lower rainfall areas of central India. At that time there was a lot of opposition from government soil conservation departments (the latter were more interested in the budget popular engineered structures that created many opportunities for corrupt practices) and by some scientists who viewed VGT as a threat to their carefully crafted long term research agendas, that focussed primarily on engineered systems. Fortunately there were some officials, often the more junior ones, that supported VGT, and it is these persons who can take the credit for India's (primarily the NGO community) continuing interest in the technology.

In 1987 the author returned to the World Bank's offices in Washington DC where, in 1989 he took the opportunity to establish a world wide Vetiver Information Network

(VIN). This was the real start of an intensified effort to put VGT in front of millions of potential users. Not long after VIN was able to draw on research results that were generated by, amongst others, Bharad¹ (India) and Yoon² (Malaysia). Both scientists made invaluable contributions to VGT and helped turn it into a respectable technology that met scientific criteria.

ACTIVITIES OF THE VETIVER NETWORK

John Greenfield (1989) published a monograph³ that was distributed to VIN's members (in that year we had 76 members who new about and used vetiver). This was followed in March 1990 by the first formal VIN newsletter (newsletter #3). Since that time 17 newsletters have been published and distributed to between 3000 to 4000 readers. Persons in over 100 countries receive the newsletters on a regular basis. These hard copy newsletters are the central and most important means of information transfer, and are supported by booklets, an Internet web site, CD-ROMs, videos etc.

In November 1995 the author (after his retirement from the World Bank) recreated VIN as The Vetiver Network (TVN), a non-profit charitable organization located in Virginia, USA with start up funds from an anonymous UK Trust. A Board of Directors was appointed and some funds were raised. TVN was awarded US\$ 100,000 John Franz Sustainability Award by Monsanto for the best environmental technology, and further financial support came from the Royal Danish Government, and the World Bank. More than US\$ 500,000 was raised which allowed TVN to support NGOs, and other agencies and users around the world, in initiating VGT programs.

From late 1996 until today TVN has provided technical and or funding assistance for the establishment of eleven regional and national vetiver networks including networks for the Amhara Region of Ethiopia, China, Europe and the Mediterranean, Latin America, Madagascar, Philippines, Southern Africa, the Pacific Rim, Thailand, Vietnam, and West Africa. Other networks have or are being developed in Cameroon, Haiti, Nigeria, Seychelles and Tanzania. No matter at what stage of development, these networks are operating; all are administered by dedicated persons who strongly believe in the potential of VGT. These networks do more than just disseminate information; they actively support collaborative field initiatives with communities, government agencies, NGOs and private sector enterprises. These networks and those yet to be developed, will in the long term be the prime movers of the technology. Some such as the Latin America Vetiver Network (LAVN), the China Vetiver Network (CVN) and the Philippines Vetiver Network (VETINET PHIL) have already taken on such roles, and are having an important effect on the accelerated adoption rates that are occurring in their areas of

influence. The Pacific Rim Vetiver Network (PRVN), administered by the Royal Development Projects Board (RDPB) of Thailand, with the personal support of His Majesty the King of Thailand, has done an excellent job in disseminating VGT information. I would like to give special mention to the recent publications by PRVN of two papers on the environment and engineering that were written by Truong⁴ and Hengchaovanich⁵ respectively, both of which have had an important impact on the recipients.

I would also like to recognize Dr. Sumet Tantivejkul (at that time Secretary General of RDPB), and the officers of the Lands Department, who under His Majesty's guidance, undertook an extensive program of VGT research and development⁶ that culminated in the First International Vetiver Conference⁷ (IVC1) that was held at Chiang Rai in 1996. This R&D laid the foundation for wider adoption of the technology in Thailand and elsewhere in the world. The Thai Government has been generous in supporting VGT not only in words but also in funds, and has welcomed numerous overseas guests for visits and training in VGT. Contrary to common perception it was RDPB that coined the description of Vetiver grass as " the miracle grass" and not TVN!

TVN has provided small grants (of about US \$ 10,000 each) to about 20 agencies (including NGOs) for the development of local vetiver field initiatives. Most have proven successful including the mini-grant programs administered through CVN and VETINETPHIL. Small grants to NGOs in Ethiopia have led to literally tens of thousands of Ethiopian farmers learning about VGT. In that country, one man in particular, Alemu Mekonnen, has been responsible for the dissemination of the technology.

Although most of the grants have been very small there have been a number of very useful spin-offs including feedback on performance and farmer perceptions of the technology, catalytic impact on surrounding areas, and often the spawning of fledgling networks that involve other agencies and NGOs. For example, Ngwainmbi Simon of Cameroon, with some support of TVN, established a small vetiver focussed project – BERUDEP – and then together with other NGOs and government agencies established a Cameroon Vetiver Network. An interesting aspect of TVN grant funds is that most of the groups that TVN supported would never had the opportunity to receive a grant from a foreign or even a local donor. Most proved very able and committed when a TVN grant was made with the clear objective of the promotion of a single technology, in this case VGT.

TVN has granted funds to a group of Australian researchers, under the coordination of Paul Truong, to undertake some small but useful experiments relating to VGT. The approach has leveraged other funds and personnel to support TVN's modest input. TVN has made available a few travel grants that have enabled some of the best vetiver resource persons to attend key workshops designed to accelerate the adoption of the technology in other sectors. This has been particularly true in the engineering sector where Paul Truong (Australia) and Diti Hengchanovich (Thailand) have been very instrumental in convincing engineers in Australia, China, Madagascar, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam and South Africa on the merits of using VGT. Madagascar is a good example of how experienced personnel were able to convince many engineers and environmentalists of the value of the technology. As a result within two years of the initial approach the Madagascan Society of Engineers has formally adopted the technology as an important input in the design and construction of engineered earth works, and the adoption rates for a range of VGT applications are accelerating.

TVN has awarded US\$ 80,000 as prize money to more than 60 persons who have demonstrated and undertaken useful research and development of VGT. These awards, although not large, have provided both incentives and recognition to vetiver supporters around the world. Not only have many of these persons produced important scientific verification of vetiver's potential, but they have also provided feedback of actual development programs. These TVN awards have been made in conjunction with the King of Thailand Vetiver Awards, the latter funded personally by His Majesty the King of Thailand.

Finally TVN has made it central to its strategy to assure a steady stream of hard copy newsletters, handbooks, CD-ROMS videos, slides, and copies of papers to whoever requests the information. Most are provided at no cost, and all are technically focussed and relevant to VGT. The most successful publication, and that most widely distributed, is John Greenfield's handbook, "Vetiver Grass – The Hedge Against Erosion"⁸. This practical field guide has gone through three editions and over 100,000 copies have been circulated in English, Spanish, and Portuguese. In addition many thousands of copies have been translated by various agencies into other languages, including, amongst others: Mandarin, Hindi, Nepali, Gujarati, Sheshewa (Malawi), Zulu, Swazi, French, Malagasy, and Pidgin. Just recently TVN has learned that the World Bank funded agricultural research and development project in Ethiopia will publish the handbook in three of the country's most important languages. Copies are also available on the Internet at TVN's FTP site: <ftp://www.vetiver.org> of the French, English and Spanish versions. Two other publications have received wide distribution: the National Research Council's review of the technology under the Chairmanship of Nobel Prize winner Norman Borlaug --

"Vetiver Grass -- A thin green line against erosion"; and the World Bank's Technical paper number 273 -- "Vetiver Grass for Soil and Water Conservation, Land Rehabilitation, and Embankment Stabilization"- edited by Richard Grimshaw and Larisa Helfer.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

It is not easy to quantify the results of TVN activities since like most other non-profit organizations funds for monitoring and evaluation are not given highest priority. However this does not mean that M&E is neglected, rather results are gathered in the form of feedback from individuals and agencies involved with programs. Ultimately success can be measured in user demand for vetiver plant material – and, currently, in every country where the technology is being used demand is greater than supply.

Effectiveness of Vetiver Grass Technology based on Research and Field Experience

Soil and Water Conservation. TVN has received a mass of information indicating that VGT is one of the most effective means of reducing soil and water. Bharad¹, Lodha⁹ Sastry¹⁰, Subudhi¹¹, Pawar¹², Howeler¹³, Suyanto¹⁴, Dreyer¹⁵Rodriguez¹⁶, Nehmdahl¹⁷, Robert¹⁸, Tung^{19 20}, Thailand^{21 22}, China Vetiver Network²³, Simon²⁴, Shelton^{25 26}, Allison²⁷, Truong²⁸, Yoon²⁹, Grimshaw^{30 31}, Juliard³², and Liyu Xu³³, amongst others, have consistently shown that soil losses can be reduced (by as much as 90% and runoff losses by up to 70% when vetiver hedgerows are planted across cultivated slopes). These results can be sustained year after year as long as the hedgerows are maintained.

Crop yields. Experimental work in India by Bharad¹ (semi tropics), and by Howeler¹³ (wet tropics), have demonstrated that crop yields have significantly improved when VGT is used for soil and moisture conservation. Rao³⁴ et al investigated the effect of VGT on alfisols in south India showed significant increases in crop yields, increased soil moisture, reduced runoff, and reduced soil losses.

Forestry development

Vetiver had been used successfully in southern India on the Maheshwaram watershed Development Project in conjunction with Eucalyptus plantations. In south China Liao³⁵ Baowen et al undertook some trials with Eucalyptus, Stylosanthes, and Vetiver. It was found that vetiver reduced soil and water loss (respectively 54% and 18% over the control plot) better than did Stylosanthes. Five-year-old eucalyptus on average had a 15.9% increase in diameter at breast height compared to the control. Plantation tree

species such as Eucalyptus and teak have very little undergrowth and the soil is generally unprotected. We often find large amounts of sheet erosion under these conditions. VGT has a positive role in mitigating this problem. Foresters might want to consider new planting designs to accommodate VGT.

Stabilizing engineered structures. Tantum³⁶, Truong³⁷, Yoon³⁸, Xia Hanping³⁹ and others have demonstrated the effectiveness of VGT for embankment stabilization. Hengchaovanich and Nilaweera⁴⁰, in a very important paper, demonstrated its stabilization impact on highway embankments. They quantified the properties and tensile strength of the root system of vetiver grass, and its impact on the shear strength of soils. They found that the average root strength was one sixth of mild steel. This paper has been well received by engineers as it was something they understood and their interest and response has generally been positive.

Pollution control. Truong⁴¹ and Xia Hanping⁴² have quantified the effectiveness of vetiver in the reducing the dangers of polluting substances and heavy metals, and its use in mitigating environmental problems relating to municipal trash dumps, industrial waste sites, and mine tailings. Non quantifiable results from the field have clearly demonstrated how VGT can be used as effective streamside buffer strips against surplus nitrate and phosphate runoff from agricultural lands. Truong's work demonstrated that vetiver grass is more tolerant than most other plants to heavy metals, and thus will survive levels of high toxicity.

Improving groundwater. Although no detailed experiments have been carried out there is good evidence that VGT improves ground water recharge. This is expected since rainfall runoff is reduced where VGT is applied. There is mounting evidence⁴³ that the root structure of grasses like vetiver have the capability of punching through hard pans etc. Thus the downward movement of water is increased. Measurements of water levels in wells in Mysore and Orrisa (India) have shown that when associated with vetiver grass hedgerows water levels are higher than those that are not.

Flood control. Dalton, Smith and Truong^{44 45} demonstrated the effectiveness of vetiver grass hedgerows in the reduction of flood damage to cultivated lands on the Darling Downs of Queensland. Quantifiable data was developed for the dynamics of reduced erosion losses and effect of the hedgerows on the standing crops and farm management practices.

Land rehabilitation. Field observations have shown that VGT can be used for the rehabilitation of extremely degraded soils. Use of VGT on India's Ussar (saline) lands of

Uttar Pradesh (National Research Council⁴⁶) resulted in the eventual reforestation of large areas of wasted land. In India's Orissa State VGT was used, Kumar⁴⁷, as the key technology for watershed management programs. In Fiji, India, and Australia for example, VGT application has resulted in the prevention of, and the rehabilitation of gullies. VGT is being used more frequently by mining companies (Berry⁴⁸) to rehabilitate tailings and other polluting sources related to the mining industry. Truong⁴⁹ 50 has carried out a series of practical research programs in Australia, all of which are leading to a clearer understanding of this important use of the technology

Disaster mitigation. Following the aftermath of Hurricane Mitch in 1998 Thurow and Smith⁵¹ found that where vetiver hedgerows had been planted in Honduras very little damage had occurred. In El Salvador, Miranda⁵² reported only three cases of VGT failure on highways that had been protected by vetiver and these occurred where the contractors had not adhered to the engineering design standards. Prior to Hurricane Mitch, Balabrino⁵³ cited the usefulness of VGT for preventing cyclone damage to crop fields in the Philippines.

Other applications. Labat⁵⁴ of Zimbabwe has used vetiver for thatching of houses. Note if proper thatching techniques are employed vetiver thatch will last "for ever". Mekonnen⁵⁵ of Ethiopia writes to say how important vetiver is for thatching the traditional "tulkul" where most other grasses and often impossible to find. Vetiver's major commercial use is for its aromatic oil -- an essential ingredient of the perfume industry -- extracted from its roots. Other uses include handicrafts, medicines, floor mats, and privacy "fences".

Agronomic Research

Over the past decade researchers and users have examined many aspects of vetiver grass to try to better understand its characteristics and function. Perhaps the most exhaustive and practical evaluation was undertaken by P.K.Yoon of Malaysia² 56. He examined most aspects, including vetiver's adaptation to a wide range of growing conditions (soil conditions, shade, rainfall, fire tolerance, tolerance to water logging, fertilizer requirements etc.), propagation techniques and field planting applications. He developed new systems of propagation (foam rubber core growing media); he developed criteria for handling plant material in the nursery and the field, and created the first technical specifications for the engineering community. In Thailand a wide range of experiments and demonstrations were undertaken by the Royal Projects Development Board and the Lands Department that tested the effectiveness of the technology as well as developing improved systems of propagation and field applications²¹. The tissue culture propagation

program was outstanding in both output and cost effectiveness. This program achieved a lot more than most people are aware of, and it would be worth documenting it.

CIAT scientists, Tscherning et al⁵⁷ carried out some useful research that mapped and compared the root growth patterns and dynamics of vetiver grass as compared to other useful conservation grasses (Lemon and Guatemala grasses)

Two scientific investigations, one old and one new, are worth mention as a demonstration of how this technology and plant captures the imagination of persons associated with the plant.

First, Xia Hanping⁵⁸ discovered some early (1950s) investigations and trials by Chinese scientists relating to the propagation techniques of vetiver. At that time the plant had just been introduced to China for aromatic purposes, and plant multiplication was a critical issue for expanding vetiver oil supply to the perfume industry, just as it is today when VGT is introduced for the first time to a country as a bioengineering tool.

Secondly, in 1998 Bob Adams and Mark Dafforn⁵⁹ published their hall mark paper that set out the results of DNA testing of vetiver grass accessions collected from around the world. Three important conclusions emerged from that work: much of the vetiver grass now forming the basis of the world wide VGT initiative is of the same genotype -- “Sunshine”; and as a result research results from one country can be quickly utilized by another without the need for prolonged and exhaustive testing; the predominantly used cultivar “Sunshine” is infertile and is not invasive and therefore is not a noxious weed; and because the “Sunshine” genotype is so prevalent we need to look for other cultivars as back up in case of a genotype failure caused by a yet to be identified disease.

Socio-Economic Research. Economic analysis by Yudelman, Greenfield and Magrath⁶⁰ showed that VGT had a very robust Internal Rate of Return (IRR). This is not surprising as the cost of establishing and maintaining VGT is low when compared to engineered structures. Analysis of data from many projects confirms the economic efficiency of the technology with the IRR often more than 100%. Ranganathan Sastry⁶¹ in his 1996 analysis compared the costs of VGT as against traditional earthen structures recommended by the Soil Conservation Department of Karnataka, India.

Farmers, even those who cannot read and write, are good observers when it comes to what is happening to their soil, manure, and crops. They are also very good when it comes to apportioning their precious labor resources. Feedback from a study the DANIDA/HIMA project in Tanzania⁶² indicates that farmers prefer VGT to other

conservation technologies because the labor input is less. Mekonnen⁶³ (Ethiopia) writes that women like the technology as it is less physical demanding than “Funya juu” – the most common alternatively form of engineered terrace. In Ethiopia a woman can build about 15 meters of earth terrace a day, compared to planting 200 meters of vetiver hedgerow.

Three separate reports from Tanzania⁶², El Salvador⁶⁴, and the Philippines⁶⁵ all indicate that when farmers are offered the option of three or four different conservation barrier systems about 80% consistently prefer VGT, and continue to use the technology in subsequent years. Comparative studies by Howeler¹³ undertaken in Vietnam, Thailand, and Indonesia, showed that the majority of farmers under study found VGT to be overall the most profitable.

The economic assessment of using VGT for stabilizing earth has been neglected. Xia Hanping³⁹ reports that on field trials in Guangdong Province of China the cost of stabilizing highway embankments with VGT is 10% of conventional engineered systems.

In some cases in Malaysia and El Salvador highways were continually being partially destroyed due to high rainfall and difficult soil conditions. The use of VGT provided near total stability and thus reduced annual maintenance costs significantly. Under such circumstances the IRR must be infinite. In Madagascar VGT has been promoted vigorously in the past two years as a technology for highway stabilization, the results and the demand for the technology speaks for itself. In Madagascar, irrigation canals stabilized with VGT have required no maintenance since their construction eight years previously.

There are many levels of costs associated with VGT depending on nursery technology and planting methods. The least costly is the division of existing hedgerows and planting bare rooted material as new hedges in adjacent fields. Most farmers who have a ready supply of vetiver grass use this technique. In India a single person can plant a minimum of 100 meters a day in this way. Thus on gently sloping lands (less than 5%) the cost of protecting 1 ha of land is only about US \$3. Costs increase when nurseries have to be maintained and it is estimated by Grimshaw⁶⁶ that in Madagascar costs increase to about US\$4 – 12 per ha when plant material has to be propagated in nurseries, transported to a site and then planted. Costs further increase when containerized plants are produced and used on special high cost (highways) sites. Containerized plants in Madagascar cost about US cents 25 each. Thus the cost per 100 linear meters of hedgerow will be in the order of \$150. This will protect about 100 m² of embankment, which is cheap compared to conventional systems.

There is a lot of site information available on the cost and benefits of VGT but it needs analyzing – good opportunities for graduate student studies!

The Global Impact of VGT

How does one measure the global impact of VGT? International donor agencies go to great lengths and cost to evaluate the impact of their development programs. TVN does not have this luxury; thus it is difficult for TVN to monitor its impact. However we are able to make an assessment of VGT progress since 1986, the starting year for the revival of the technology:

- In 1986 the technology was introduced to India, in the year 2000 some 138 countries know about the technology and more than 100 are using it in one form or other;
- In 1986 there were no vetiver networks, in 2000 there are at least 14 known networks;
- Since 1989 TVN has produced 17 formal newsletters with an issue of about 3,000 per newsletters;
- The first newsletter had about five pages; the last one, # 20, had 70 pages -- mostly technical information coming from the field. Other networks have produced newsletters on a biannual basis – LAVN, MVN, SAVN, PRVN, WAVN, VETINETPHIL and CVN have all produced newsletters regularly;
- Over 100,000 vetiver handbooks have been distributed since 1987;
- TVN's homepage that was established in 1996 has received about 15,000 hits;
- Over 800 NGO's are using the technology;
- Some 800 government agents are using the technology;
- About 1000 research stations/agencies receive the vetiver newsletter, many of them carry out vetiver research;
- In Ethiopia we are told that half a million farmers know about the technology;
- In Malawi it is government policy to promote VGT;

- In 1986 VGT was solely applied as an erosion control measure, in 2000 it was used for highway stabilization, mine land rehabilitation, river, canal and drainage bank stabilization, sea shore stabilization, wind breaks, pollution control mitigation associated with municipal trash dumps, and for housing construction site stabilization;
- In 1986 few engineers knew anything about vetiver grass, today many do, and for example in 1999 the Madagascar Society of Engineers formally recognized VGT as an important technology for road stabilization purposes;
- In 1986 VGT was associated only with government projects today more than 800 commercial and private individuals receive the Vetiver Newsletter. There is an increasing involvement of the private sector in the establishment of VGT enterprises that serve the engineering sector, good examples of this can be found in Malaysia, Thailand, El Salvador, South Africa, Philippines, and China;
- VGT has become a frequent component in bilateral funded projects in Ethiopia, Ghana, Madagascar, Malawi, Tanzania, Zimbabwe, China, India, Sri Lanka, Papua New Guinea Philippines, Indonesia, Honduras, Panama, Costa Rica to name but a few;
- Apart from numerous site oriented workshops there have been a number of international conferences devoted to VGT, and most other conferences that to soil erosion control and biological engineering include papers on VGT.

The Vetiver network is continually receiving letters and other communications from persons in most countries in the tropics and semi tropics requesting information about the technology. We receive feedback from unlikely places which suggests that the technology is now becoming quite well known and that there is a lot more going on with VGT than we really know. When the vetiver initiative was started most scientists had either had never heard of the technology or thought that it could only be confined to the low altitude wet tropics -- the technology has indeed come a long way.

NETWORKING – A MEANS OF DISSEMINATION OF TECHNOLOGY

The Vetiver Network (TVN) was one of the early organizations to network a single technology. Subsequently other vetiver networks were formed in association with TVN.

Together the networking process has been successful, and it is worthwhile setting out some of the reasons for this success:

- the networks focus on VGT and not on other comparable technologies (although it acknowledges that other technologies may be effectively used in conjunction with VGT or as stand alone technologies). One of the failures of many technology initiatives and development programs is that sometimes too many options are introduced that often prove over complicated both as a message and for the messenger. Lower level extension workers often feel that the delivery of one or two good messages will produce better results than multiple messages. Further more VGT is an exceptionally good technology that is superior to most other comparable ones – feedback from users confirm this fact. Some bilateral donors feel that beneficiaries need to have many options to choose from. This view is only acceptable if the intended beneficiary knows what the options are. Fifteen years ago hardly any one knew about VGT, thus there was a need for a single technology approach in order to disseminate the VGT technical message.
- The message is technically simple, low cost and effective, when applied correctly. VGT is really a very simple technology to apply and good demonstrations quickly convince potential users. “Seeing is believing” is an important aspect of passing the message on to new users. One user wrote to TVN “we read, we did, and it worked” -- what better accolade than this!
- The technology requires a much lower labor input than traditionally engineered systems, and once established needs little maintenance. On average a person can construct 15 meters of traditional terrace a day, compared to 200 m of VGT hedgerows. This means that a ha of land can be protected in two or three days, compared to 40 days for terracing, and therefore it is an attractive technology to resource poor farmers;
- VGT is gender positive. For example, Ethiopian women like the technology as it is not as hard work as terracing, and they see plenty of useful social bi-products from the grass including thatch, weaving materials, medicinal supplies, mattress stuffing material, snake excluder, privacy hedges, and a host of other uses. Women in the Philippines like the technology as they can develop mini nurseries to produce containerized vetiver plants to sell to the commercial sector for highway stabilization purposes. They call it "cash grass".

- VGT is unique in that vetiver grass can be applied over a wide range of ecological conditions (hence a basis for wide adoption), and many environmental and economic uses -- the two often combined. It is difficult for most people to believe that the grass will grow over a wide range of extreme conditions. Thus one species will do the job of many. Once planners and users are convinced of this, and promote it as such, the technology adoption accelerates rapidly;
- The end user comes first. This is a basic principle of TVN. Users are more important than government officials and scientists. TVN recognizes that the latter are useful, but we do not forget that it was, in the first place, the users who developed the technology; and it is the user who comes up with many of its refinements;
- The majority of information received is immediately fed back to users, and is published in the newsletter and on TVN's website. There are no delays;
- There are no formal peer reviewers -- the end user is the peer reviewer -- he/she either uses the information or rejects it. . So long as material received by TVN is readable and relevant we will publish it. There are no peer reviewers to reject the material as unworthy of publication, or to reject the material because it conflicts with their own agendas. There are no supervisors who want authorship in return for publication;
- Incentives (awards) are provided to encourage active participation. The awards program has been very successful, not only does it encourage research but it also acknowledges many "small" persons in the vetiver system that work hard with little recognition. A vetiver award is often a coveted prize, even if it is just a certificate (in the future if TVN has limited funds, certificates may be the only way we can recognize worthy contributions to the furthering VGT.);
- Most vetiver researchers and users have been generous in sharing their information; because of the open approach taken by TVN, those involved with vetiver have been keen to provide feedback. This doesn't mean that we get all the feedback that we would like, we would like a lot more from those that receive but do not give!
- In most countries there are committed individuals who make great efforts to disseminate information on VGT, organize training etc. There are perhaps three dozen individuals around the world who have really made a difference to "moving the technology". Amongst them and foremost is His Majesty the King of Thailand. Others include: Ed Balbarino and Noah Manarang of the Philippines, Liyu Xu and Xia Hanping of China, Govind Bharad of India, P.K.Yoon of Malaysia, Tony Tantum

and Duncan Hay of South Africa, Paul Truong of Australia, Diti Hengchanovich and Narong Chomchalow of Thailand, Joan Miller and Jim Smyle of Costa Rica, Linus Folly of Ghana, John Greenfield and Don Miller of New Zealand, Criss Juliard, Mark Dafforn, Ken Crismier and Noel Vietmeyer of the US, Alemu Mekonnen of Ethiopia, Ngwainmbi Simon of Cameroon, Glenn Allison and Paul Zuckerman of UK, Mike Pease of Portugal, Cornelis des Bouvries of the Netherlands, Stephen Carr of Malawi, and Jano Labat of Zimbabwe. These are but a few of the many active participants of TVN – they and others have all created change.

- 99% of the information that is networked is delivered at no cost to the recipient; and is delivered fast. Early in its operations TVN found that people either don't like paying for new information, or don't have the money, or can't get the foreign exchange even if they have the money. So we have a policy that it is better to get the information out at our cost rather than not at all, or in limited amounts. Occasionally we ask people to pay or make a donation. But I am convinced that our success is partly because we have delivered good information quickly and at no cost.
- TVN has deliberately set a policy that encourages affiliated networks to take the lead at regional and national levels. Although TVN led in the beginning we have made great efforts to devolve responsibility and fund raising to local networks. We have provided up to US\$ 30,000 – US\$ 50,000 per network to assist networks get off to a reasonable start. Mostly the response has been good and the networks have done far more than we originally anticipated. TVN remains in the back ground as a feeder of information, and a linker of information and persons;
- Networking vetiver technology appears to be self-sustaining. If TVN closed down we can be assured that VGT's adoption would continue at an increased rate, particularly in those areas that are using it widely. This says much for the technology and for the way the technology has been disseminated. However there are still many countries and sectors that do not know much about vetiver. Thus we think it is important to keep TVN operating in some form or other.
- The Internet homepage, CD-ROMs etc. are powerful tools in the dissemination effort. A picture can tell a thousand words. TVN has made a point of sending out videos and slides relating to the technology and its uses. Now that CD-ROMs are so cheap (US\$ 1,500 per thousand CDs) it is possible to send out all we know about vetiver at a very low cost. The current CD that we give away includes all 20 newsletters, important vetiver papers and articles, the vetiver data base, five pictorial presentations, and the "Green" books in English, French and Spanish. The Internet also provides a very

good information source about VGT. TVN's home page, <http://www.vetiver.org>, with links to other vetiver network sites, contains all we know about VGT (some 15 megabytes). More people are using it, and if only 20% actually do something with what they have learned then the return to the annual cost (about US\$ 1000 per year) of running the homepage and the associated ftp site will indeed be high. As other web sites with similar interests are established and inter links are made between sites we can expect an acceleration of hits on our site;

- Hard copy newsletters are an essential component in disseminating information to the majority of recipients. Unfortunately we cannot do without hard copy newsletters. Most of our participants do not have computers, and if they do, accessing the Internet can be expensive. TVN and local network newsletters are therefore essential. We find vetiver newsletters for sale, often in tattered condition, in remote book fairs in the heart of India! We find one newsletter is often circulated to 50 other readers – so hard copy is still a powerful tool;
- Internet dissemination is a powerful information tool for NGOs and other agencies that are plugged in to the World Wide Web. Once these agencies have access to the information they disseminate to a wide audience of users. TVN's homepage has played a vital role in information dissemination, and will in the future become even more important as more users and potential users come "online". Other NGO's that, like TVN, have limited financial resources should find the Internet hugely useful for information transfer. Along with our homepage the use of email has greatly enhanced communications between the networks and between users. Network coordinators can become real communication facilitators if the system is used to its full capability; and
- TVN itself is unencumbered by bureaucratic processes. TVN was fortunate to raise over half a million dollars, most of which was quickly disbursed, under simple agreements, to recipients (other vetiver networks and NGO's). Most grants were processed from start to delivery within six weeks. Much was done on trust, and most times the trust paid off in successful programs, the few times when it failed was so small that it made little difference to the overall outcome. The really successful grant support was to those organizations whose leader(s) were already fully committed to the technology and who had a real sense of commitment to their own people and to the environment. All were volunteers in the sense that they already had personal incomes (a salaried job, pension etc.). They took on VGT because they believed in it.

CONCLUSIONS

What of the future? I see the future expansion of the technology coming from three directions:

- The spontaneous establishment of new national and local vetiver networks and the expansion of existing networks without financial assistance of TVN. Hopefully governments and donor agencies, that might include international agencies and trade organizations such as Rotary and Lions' Clubs or such local equivalents, will provide assistance.
- As government and other agencies, become more appreciative of the value of VGT, they will include it in their technical strategies, and be more active in its promotion application. Agencies need to better appreciate the cross sector linkages that VGT impacts on;
- The expansion of private sector enterprises that are realizing the importance of the technology and the fact that it can be applied profitably. The private sector will actively market the technology, and thus will impact a whole string of enterprises from small plant material producers to landscapers and construction companies.

The dissemination of VGT has undoubtedly been a successful initiative, and the original objectives have been exceeded by far. Rather than just being a carrier of words the initiative has been a creator of actions. This process will continue, probably not with TVN in the prime spot, but rather through the actions of users and scientists working in better communications and harmony in the field. TVN's own future is, and has always been, rather fluid, we change course depending on the availability of funds and the need of users. If TVN does not receive any significant funding in the near future it will downsize its work to an information exchange based on the Internet. The latter is almost a costless exercise.

VGT has proven a very successful technology because it is simple, low cost, and effective. It is also a very exciting technology because its use seems to be boundless, and it is therefore an exciting technology to use and experiment with. It is also a technology that is available to everybody without being tied up in bureaucratic practices. In fact today its use would be more widely applied if other technologies, particularly "hard" engineering technologies, were less financially attractive to corrupt officials and profit optimizing entrepreneurs.

Perhaps it has been a good thing that TVN has generally been poor. We have been able to identify with and better understand those that have difficulty in starting something new, and have come to realize that very small amounts of financial support placed quickly and correctly can make a difference to hundreds, even thousands of rural people. We have also learned that there are a lot of good technologies that are low cost and relatively simple, and if applied properly could make great change to people's lives. What we need is vision, dedication, and commitment. The CEO of a successful company was asked how to be successful in creating of change -- his answer was "one has to be repetitive and boring". I know that in my work with VGT I have been both repetitive and boring, but it has been worth it.

REFERENCES

¹ Bharad, G.M, and Bathkal, B.C. (1991). The Role of Vetiver Grass in Soil and Moisture Conservation. The Vetiver Information Network Newsletter, No. 6. ASTAG, The World Bank, Washington DC, USA.

² Yoon, P.K. (1991). A Look-See at Vetiver Grass in Malaysia – First Progress Report delivered to The Vetiver Network.

³ Greenfield, J.C. (1989). Vetiver Grass, The Ideal Plant for Soil and Water Conservation. ASTAG, World Bank, Washington DC.

⁴ Truong, P.N.V, and Baker, D. (1998). Vetiver Grass System for Environmental Protection. Pacific Rim Vetiver Network, Technical Bulletin No. 1998/1.

⁵ Hengchoavanich, D. (1998). Vetiver Grass for Slope Stabilization and Erosion Control. Pacific Rim Vetiver Network, Technical Bulletin No. 1998/2.

⁶ Royal Development Project's Board (1996). Vetiver Grass For Environment Protection and Other Uses. Royal Development Project's Board, Bangkok, Thailand.

⁷ Royal Development Project's Board (1996). Vetiver: A Miracle Grass. The proceedings of The First International Conference. Royal Development Project's Board, Bangkok, Thailand.

⁸ Greenfield, J.C (1987). Vetiver Grass The Hedge Against Erosion (3rd Edition 1991). The World Bank, Washington DC, USA.

-
- ⁹ Lodha, M.C. Status of Adaptive Research on Using Vetiver as Vegetative Barriers in India (1996). Proceedings of the First International conference on Vetiver: A Miracle Grass. Office of the Royal development Projects Board, Bangkok, Thailand.
- ¹⁰ Sastry, Ranganathan. (1995). Khus (*Vetiveria zizanioides*) in Watershed Development, Karnataka, India. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 14, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹¹ Subudhi, C.R, Pradhan, P.C, Senapati, P.C. (1998). Effect of Grass Bunds on Erosion Loss and Yield of Rainfed Rice – Orissa, India. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 19, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹² Pawar, P.B. (1998). Prospects and Problems of Vetiver for Watershed Management in Sub-Mountain and Scarcity Zones of Maharashtra, India. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No.19, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹³ Howeler, R.H. (1996). A Test on the Use of Vetiver Grass with some Soil Conservation and Improvement Measures for Sustainability in Cassava Based Cropping Systems. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹⁴ Suyanto, Howeler, R.H (1999). Cultural Practices for Erosion Control on Cassava Based Cropping Systems in Indonesia. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 20, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹⁵ Dreyer, S. (1997). “Its Just Like Growing Shallots” Experiences with Vetiver Grass in Soil and Water Conservation Programme for Communal Farmers in Zaka District, Zimbabwe. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 18, Leesburg, Virginia USA.
- ¹⁶ Rodriguez, O.S. (1993) Hedgerows and Mulch as Soil Conservation Measures Evaluation under Field Simulated Rainfall. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 13, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹⁷ Nehmdahl, H. (1999). Vetiver Grass in Soil and water Conservation Trial – Tanzania. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 20, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ¹⁸ Robert, M. (1993). The Largest Known Plantings of Vetiver Hedgerows. The Vetiver Information Network Newsletter, No. 10. ASTAG, The World Bank, Washington DC, USA.

¹⁹ Tung, L. and Fatima, T (1991). Philippines - The Introduction of Vetiver Grass to Improve an Indigenous Technology for Soil and Water Conservation. The Vetiver Information Network Newsletter, No.7. ASTAG, The World Bank, Washington DC, USA.

²⁰ Truong, P.N.V. and Baker, D.E. (1996). Effectiveness of the Vetiver Hedges in Soil Erosion and Sediment Control in Queensland. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

²¹ Thailand, Royal Projects Development Board (1994.) Development and Promotion of the Utilization of Vetiver Grass in Thailand. The Vetiver Information Network Newsletter, No. 11 ASTAG, The World Bank, Washington DC, USA.

²² Thailand, (1996). First International Vetiver Conference “Vetiver A Miracle Grass”. Abstracts of Selected Research Papers. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

²³ China Vetiver Network, (1997). China Vetiver Network Vetiver Conference, Fuzhou, China. Abstracts of Papers. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 18, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

²⁴ Simon, N. (1998). BERUDEP, Cameroon. Six monthly reports to TVN. The Vetiver Network files, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

²⁵ Shelton, R. (1998) CARE. Papua New Guinea. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 19, Leesburg, Virginia USA.

²⁶ Shelton, R. (1996) The Establishment of Vetiver Grass within the Traditional Garden System of Papua New Guinea. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

²⁷ Allison, G. (1998) POPPA, Lilongwe, Malawi. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 19, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

²⁸ Truong, P.N.V. (1996). Back From the Future: Dos and Don'ts After 50 years of Vetiver Utilization in Fiji. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

-
- ²⁹ Yoon, P.K. (1996). The Introduction, Early Results and Potential uses of Vetiver Hedge Row (VGHR) in the Mediterranean Regions. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 16, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ³⁰ Grimshaw, R.G. (1996) Report on a Visit to Western Panama. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ³¹ Grimshaw, R.G. (1997). A Visit to Southern Africa. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 17, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ³² Juliard, C. (1997). Soil Protection and Soil Restoration Seminar in Madagascar. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 18, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ³³ Liyu Xu (1997). The International Vetiver Workshop Fuzhou, Fujian Province, China. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 18, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ³⁴ Rao, C.N, Rao, M.S, Raju, A.P, and Prasadini. (1996). Effect of Vetiver Hedge on Runoff, Soil Loss, Soil Moisture and Yield of Rainfed Crops in Alfisol Watershed of Southern India. Proceedings of the First International conference on Vetiver: A Miracle Grass. Office of the Royal development Projects Board, Bangkok, Thailand.
- ³⁵ Liao Baowen, Zheng Dezhang and Zheng Songfa. (1996). Studies of the Effect of Soil and water Conservation of Vetiver Grass in Eucalyptus Plantations. Proceedings of the First International conference on Vetiver: A Miracle Grass. Office of the Royal development Projects Board, Bangkok, Thailand.
- ³⁶ Tantom, A. (1993). Stabilization of Highway Road Cuts with Vetiver. The Vetiver Information Network Newsletter, No. 10. ASTAG, The World Bank, Washington DC, USA.
- ³⁷ Truong, P.N.V. (1999). Vetiver Grass Technology For Land Stabilisation, Erosion And Sediment Control In The Asia Pacific Region. (Paper presented at the First Asia-Pacific Conference on Ground and Water Bioengineering, Manila April 1999).
- ³⁸ Yoon, P.K. (1995) Important Biological Considerations in the Use of Vetiver Grass Hedgerows (VGHR) for Slope Protection and Stabilization. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 13, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

-
- ³⁹ Xia, H P. Ao, H. X, Liu, S Z and He, D Q. (1999). Application of the Vetiver Grass bioengineering Technology for the Prevention of Highway Slippage in Southern China. Nanchang Bioengineering Conference, China (October 1999)
- ⁴⁰ Hengchaovanich, D. and Nilaweera, N.S. (1996). An assessment of strength properties of vetiver grass roots in relation to slope stabilisation. Proc. First Int. Vetiver Conf. Thailand.
- ⁴¹ Truong, P.N.V. (1996). Vetiver grass for land rehabilitation. Proc. First Intern. Vetiver Conf. Thailand (pp 49-56).
- ⁴² Xia Hanping, Ao Huixiu, Liu Shizhong, He Daoquan (1988). A Preliminary Study on Vetiver's Purification for Garbage Leachate. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 18, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ⁴³ Kemper, D (1999) Personal communication.
- ⁴⁴ Dalton, P A, Smith, R J and Truong, P.N.V. (1996). Vetiver grass hedges for erosion control on a cropped floodplain, hedge hydraulics. Agric. Water Management: 31(1,2) pp 91-104.
- ⁴⁵ Dalton, P.A. (1997). Application of the vetiver grass hedges to erosion control on the cropped flood plain of the Darling Downs. Master of Engineering Thesis, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia.
- ⁴⁶ National Research Council, (1993). Vetiver Grass. A thin green line against erosion. p 36-40
- ⁴⁷ Kumar, H.S. (1996). Successful Watershed management through A World Bank Project - I.W.D.P. Phulbani. Proceedings of the First International conference on Vetiver: A Miracle Grass. Office of the Royal development Projects Board, Bangkok, Thailand.
- ⁴⁸ Berry, P.S. (1996). The Use of Vetiver Grass in the Revegetation of Kimberlite Spoils in Respect of Diamond Mining in South Africa. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 15, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

-
- ⁴⁹ Truong, P.N. (1999). Vetiver Grass Technology for Mine Tailings Rehabilitation. (Paper presented at the First Asia-Pacific Conference on Ground and Water Bio-engineering, Manila April 1999)
- ⁵⁰ Truong, P.N.V and Baker, D. (1996). Vetiver grass for the stabilisation and rehabilitation of acid sulfate soils. Proc. Second National Conf. Acid Sulfate Soils, Coff's Harbour, Australia pp. 196 -198.
- ⁵¹ Thurow, T.L and Smith, J.E. Jr. (1999) Assessment of Soil and Water Conservation Methods Applied to the Cultivated Steeplands of Southern Honduras. Department of Rangeland Ecology and Management, Texas A&M University, Station, Texas 77843-2126 USA
- ⁵² Miranda, A. (1999). NOBS Anti-Erosion y Su Experiencia en la Estabilización y Protección de Infraestructura. Experiencia con el Uso Vetiver para la Protección y Estabilización de Infraestructura. Memoria. Banco Mundial, NOBS Hidrofusion Sade CV, Red Latinoamericana de Vetiver, RUTA.
- ⁵³ Balbarino, E. (1997) Personal communication.
- ⁵⁴ Labat, J. (1996). Personal communication.
- ⁵⁵ Mekonnen, A. (1998). Personal communication.
- ⁵⁶ Yoon, P.K (1993). A Look-See At Vetiver Grass in Malaysia – Second Progress Report. Delivered to The Vetiver Network.
- ⁵⁷ Tscherning, K, Leihner, D.E, Huger, T.H, Muller-Samann, K.M. (1998) Grass Barriers in Cassava Hillside Cultivation: Rooting Patterns and Root Growth Dynamics. Vetiver Network Newsletter #19, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ⁵⁸ Xia Hanping. (1998) Observations and Experiments on the Multiplication, Cultivation, and Management of Vetiver Grass Conducted in China in the 1950's. Vetiver Network Newsletter No. 18, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.
- ⁵⁹ Adams, R.P. and Dafforn, M.R. (1997). DNA Fingertyping (RAPDS) of the Pantropical Grass Vetiver (*Vetiveria zizanioides* L.) Reveals a Single Clone "Sunshine" is

Widely Utilised for Erosion Control. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 18. Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

⁶⁰ Yudelman, M, Greenfield, J.C., and Magrath W.B. (1990). New Vegetative Approaches to Soil and Moisture Conservation. World Wild Life Fund, The Conservation Foundation, Washington DC.

⁶¹ Ranganathan Sastry, K.N. (1996). Socio-Economic Dimensions of Vetiver Grass in Rainfed Areas of Karnataka, India. Proceedings of the First International conference on Vetiver: A Miracle Grass. Office of the Royal development Projects Board, Bangkok, Thailand.

⁶² Mgalamo, S.I.E. and Qaraeen, A. (1996). Vetiver Grass in Soil and Water Conservation Experience of Hima Iringa Project, Tanzania. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 16, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

⁶³ Mekonnen, A (1999). Integrated Food Security Project Amhara Regional State -- Ethiopia. Report on Vetiver Grass Technology Programme. Vetiver Network Homepage (www.vetiver.org), also to be published in The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 21, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

⁶⁴ NOBS. (1997). NOBS Anti-Erosion: A Company Against Erosion. The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 17, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

⁶⁵ Balbarino, E, (1997). "What the Vetiver Users in the Philippines Say". The Vetiver Network Newsletter, No. 17, Leesburg, Virginia, USA.

⁶⁶ Grimshaw, R.G. (1997). The Potential Use of the Vetiver Grass Technology for Soil and Water Conservation and Land Stabilization in Madagascar. A report to Project CAP, Antananarivo, Madagascar.